मोहम्मद खान) (क) से (घ) टिहरी जल विद्युत विकास निगम द्वारा पर्यावरण एवं वन मंत्रालय को प्रस्तुत की गई पर्यावरणीय कार्य-योजनाओं की, नदी घाटी तथा जल विद्युत् परियोजनाओं हेतु उक्त मंत्रालय द्वारा गठित पर्यावरणीय मूल्यांकन समिति द्वारा जांच की गई थी। परियोजना क्षेत्र में भूकम्प की संभावनाओं को देखते हुये बांध की सुरक्षा को लेकर पर्यावरण मूल्यांकन समिति एवं कतिपय अन्य संगठनों द्वारा व्यक्त आशंकाओं के परिप्रेक्ष्य में सरकार द्वारा टिहरी बांध परियोजना की सुरक्षा पहलुओं से संबंधित विशिष्ट मुद्दों से संबंधित संदेहों के समाधान के लिए विशेषज्ञों की एक उच्चस्तरीय समिति गठित की गई थी।

सरकार द्वारा गठित विशेषज्ञों की उच्चस्तरीय समिति में संबंधित क्षेत्रों के चोटी के वैज्ञानिक एवं इंजीनियर शामिल थे जो विशिष्ट राष्ट्रीय संगठनों/संस्थानों से जुड़े हुए हैं समिति का संघटन निम्नवत है :—

1. महानिदेशक, भारतीय भू-वैज्ञानिक सर्वेक्षण, अध्यक्ष
2. निदेशक राष्ट्रीय भू-भौतिकी अनुसंधान संस्थान, सदस्य
3. सदस्य, (अभिकल्प एवं अनुसंधान) केन्द्रीय जल आयोग, सदस्य
4. भूकम्प अभियांत्रिकी विभाग, रुड़की विश्वविद्यालय के विभागाध्यक्ष, सदस्य
5. डा० पी०के० गौड़, सचिव समुद्र विकास निगम, सदस्य।

इसके पहले डा० हर्ष गुप्त, उपकुलपति कोचीन विश्वविद्यालय को उक्त समिति में शामिल किया गया था परन्तु उनके उपलब्ध न होने के कारण उनके स्थान पर प्रतिष्ठित वैज्ञानिक डा० वी०के० गौड़ को समिति में शामिल कर लिया गया।

Overcharging on Flucinolone Acettomide

355. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK:

SHRI BEKAL UTSAHI:

Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred question 710, given in the Rajya Sabha on 19th March, 1990 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the formulator has not implemented the Prices of Flucort range of formulations fixed by Government in 1990; and

(b) if so, what action has been taken against the company and by when the public would get finished medicines at fixed prices?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) and (b) The State Drug Controller has been asked telegraphically to ensure that the company has implemented the price fixed by the Government in respect of Flucort range of formulations and send the necessary compliance Report.

Demand for better D.A. formula by the employees of Public Undertakings

356. SHRI SYED SIBTEY RAZI: Will the Minister of INDUSTRY be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that the employees of Public Undertakings are demanding better Dearness Allowance formula;

(b) if so, what are the details of their demands; and

(c) what will be the burden on the exchequer if Government accept their demand?

THE MINISTER OF INDUSTRY (SHRI AJIT SINGH): (a) to (c) The representatives of the unionised workers had proposed adoption of four separate rates corresponding to

four pay slabs ranging upto Rs. 3500/-, in the Tripartite Dearness Allowance Committee set up by Government. In the absence of the quantified rates it is not possible to assess the additional expenditure on this account.

Standing Committee on Drug issues

357. SHRI G. SWAMY NAIK: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Starred Question No. 182 given in the Rajya Sabha on 26th March, 1990 and state:

(a) whether the committee set up by his Ministry to remove anomalies and discrepancies from the Kelkar Committee report has submitted its findings;

(b) if so, what are the details thereof and if not by when the findings would be submitted;

(c) whether it is a fact that his Ministry has given a time limit for submission of reports; and

(d) if so, what are the details thereof?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S. GURUPADASWAMY): (a) to (d) The Standing Committee constituted by the Department of Chemicals and Petrochemicals is a permanent Committee to consider the representations received from time to time regarding matters concerning DPCO, 1987 including inclusion/exclusion of bulk drugs under the scheduled categories. The first set of recommendations of the Standing Committee are being finalised.

Prices charged by M|s. Hoechst

358. CHOWDHARY RAM SEWAK: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to refer to the answer to Unstarred Question 1387 given in the Rajya Sabha on the 21st November, 1988 and state:

(a) whether it is a fact that apart from Frusemide, Pheniramine Maleate, Strile PMT and Barelgon Ketone, the company also challenged the Government fixed price of Glybenclamide;

(b) if so, what was the notified price of this drug price charged, rate of recovery per Kg. year-wise production during 1981-83 and the amount assessed by special team for each year;

(c) whether it is a fact that BICP recommended prices of formulations based on Government notified price of each of these five drugs;

(d) if so, when and what was the price recommended for each formulation; and

(e) what was the price of each of these five drugs on which formulations sold during 1981-82 and 1983 were based?

THE MINISTER OF PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS (SHRI M. S GURUPADASWAMY): (a) Yes, Sir.

(b) A price of Rs. 2458 per kg. was fixed for Glybenclamide on 17th November, 1983. The earlier price was Rs. 9800 per kg. Special Team tentatively assessed an amount of Rs. 2.58 lakhs in respect of this drug upto 31-12-1983.

(c) to (e) The operation of the prices fixed by the Government for the five bulk drugs and of formulations, wherever they were fixed, was stayed by the Delhi High Court in the case of M|s. Hoechst India Limited. The stay was operative till the Supreme Court judgement was received in April-May, 1987.

Representation for inclusion of Drugs under DPCO

359. SHRI ASHOK NATH VERMA: Will the Minister of PETROLEUM AND CHEMICALS be pleased to state:

(a) whether it is a fact that his Ministry received a number of representations for inclusion of drugs under price control which were wrongly excluded;

(b) if so, what is the number of representations received from 1st January to 25th April, 1990;